

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/076,518	02/19/2002	Morris Ostrowiecki		3423	
7:	590 09/12/2003				
MORRIS OSTROWIECKI			EXAMINER		
	AMI AOYAMA, MINAT '-0062	O-KU	OLSZEWSKI, JOAN M		
JAPAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643		
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 1/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/076,518	OSTROWIECKI,	MORRIS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joan M. Olszewski	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimun tory period will apply and will expire SIX (III, by statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on		•
, 	o)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition f	·—		he merits is
closed in accordance with the practic Disposition of Claims	·	· •	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideratio	n.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		•	
Applicant may not request that any object			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed			ier.
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.0.0.440() (1) (0	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority de			
2. Certified copies of the priority d		· · ·	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action 	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).	Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for			
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948) 5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to a package of poly bags, classified in class 383, subclass 127.
- Claim 7, drawn to a method of storing bags, classified in class 383, subclass 32.
- III. Claims 8-16, drawn to a storage device for bags, classified in class 224, subclass 191.
- IV. Claims 17-20, drawn to a scooper for dog excrement, classified in class 294, subclass 1.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the apparatus could be used to practice a different process specifically a process in which adjacent bags are not interleaved and that all of the bags stay inside the cover until removed by a user.

Invention III, and inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

Art Unit: 3643

claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed Group III does not require the particulars of the subcombination Groups I and II as claimed because the combination does not require the details of the bag being folded along both the width and length but could be folded about only one axis. The subcombination has separate utility such as used directly without the need of a dispensing device by removing the bags directly from the cover.

Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions of groups I-III have separate utility since they all deal with the storage and dispensing of bags which do not require a scooper while group IV is directed to only a scooper which could be used with any bag. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Applicant elects Group III the following election of species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, Figures 10A-10G

Species II, Figures 11A-11B

Application/Control Number: 10/076,518

Art Unit: 3643

Species III, Figures 12A-12D

Species IV, Figures 13A-13E

Species V, Figure 18

Species VI, Figure 14

Species VII, Figures 17A-17F

Species VIII, Figures 15A-15N

Further, if Applicant elects Group IV the following election of species is required.

Species I, Figures 16A-16C

Species II, Figures 16D-16E

Species III, Figures 16H-16I

Species IV, Figures 16J-16L

Species V, Figure 16M

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joan M. Olszewski whose telephone number is 703-305-2693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3643

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Joan M. Olszewski Examiner Art Unit 3643

JMO

PETER SE VOIC

Vit Por

SUPERVIS :

五二四十二

TECHNING TO ENGLA SULU